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1. Introduction

[2] Some of the first discordant paleomagnetic directions in Cretaceous rocks of the North American Cordillera were discovered by Symons [1974] in plutons near Prince Rupert, British Columbia. Discordant paleomagnetic directions were observed in the Late Cretaceous Ecstall and Butedale plutons and the mid-Cretaceous plutons of Stephens Island and Pitt Island (Figures 1 and 2). These Cretaceous plutons all have paleomagnetic directions with shallow inclinations and clockwise-rotated declinations when compared to expected Cretaceous directions. These discordant directions were initially interpreted to indicate northeast-side-up tilting of these plutonic rocks [Symons, 1977]. Later, when discordant paleomagnetic directions were found to be widespread within the North American Cordillera, the discordance was attributed to tectonic motion of crustal blocks involving ~3000 km of northward transport and clockwise vertical axis rotation [Beck, 1976, 1980; Beck et al., 1981; Irving et al., 1985, 1996]. Northward transport is interpreted to have occurred in the interval from 90 Ma to 50 Ma.

[3] Interpretation of discordant paleomagnetic directions from plutonic rocks is not straightforward because paleohorizontal at the time of magnetization can only be inferred indirectly. There are no direct lithologic recordings of paleohorizontal as with stratified rocks. As a result, a “tilt versus translation” controversy has ensued regarding the discordant paleomagnetic directions from plutonic rocks of the North Cascades and Coast Mountains batholith [Butler et al., 1989; Brown and Burmester, 1991; Vandall, 1993; Ague and Brandon, 1996, 1997; Anderson, 1997].

[4] Discordant paleomagnetic directions have also been observed in Cretaceous sedimentary and volcanic rocks of the northern North American Cordillera [Wynn et al., 1995; Ward et al., 1997]. Some workers have concluded that the consistently shallow paleomagnetic inclinations confirm the transport interpretation of discordant paleomagnetic directions from the plutonic rocks. The tectonic transport interpretation has become known as the Baja British Columbia hypothesis [Umhoefer, 1987; Cowan, 1994; Cowan et al., 1997]. This is because the implied mid-Cretaceous location of the Insular superterrane and at least the western portion of the Coast Mountains and North Cascades is in the position relative to North America now occupied by Baja California. However, concerns about compaction shallowing of paleomagnetic inclination in sedimentary rocks and about re-
ability of paleomagnetic directions from the Cretaceous volcanic rocks have arisen. Indeed, the Baja British Columbia hypothesis remains controversial and has been the focus of a recent international conference [Mahoney et al., 2000]. The importance of this paleogeographic model reaches beyond the North American Cordillera. Resolution of the controversy is important to understanding the tectonics of continental margins affected by compressional, transpressional, and strike-slip plate tectonic boundaries all of which have occurred along the western margin of North America during the past 100 m.y. [Hollister and Andronicos, 1997].

[5] Because the Baja British Columbia hypothesis depends critically on paleomagnetic observations from Cretaceous plutonic rocks of the North American Cordillera, it is important to examine possibilities that local geological structures could be responsible for some of the discordant directions. Additional sampling and modern paleomagnetic analyses coupled with geochronologic and geologic observations may lead to refined understanding of the origin of the discordant directions. Our results reported here indicate that local folding of the Ecstall pluton in the Prince Rupert area can account for the discordant paleomagnetic directions without latitudinal transport >1000 km.

2. Geologic Setting

[6] The Ecstall pluton is a large granitic body that underlies much of the western flank of the Coast Mountains near Prince
Rupert (Figure 2). It is elongate in shape, with a southwest-northeast width of 12-20 km and a northwest-southeast length of >80 km. Compositionally, the body is mainly hornblende quartz diorite and granodiorite, with subordinate diorite along its northeast margin [Hutchison, 1982]. The age of the body was reported to be 98 ± 4 Ma on the basis of two discordant U-Pb analyses of large zircon populations [Woodsworth et al., 1983]. As described below, new U-Pb analyses indicate that the crystallization age is ~90 Ma.

The northern part of the body, where our study was conducted, was intruded into schist and gneiss derived from pelitic and psammitic marine strata with subordinate volcanic horizons [Hutchison, 1982]. The tectonic affinity of these country rocks is uncertain, with possibilities including the Alexander terrane [Armstrong and Runkle, 1979], the Yukon-Tanana terrane [Crawford et al., 2000], or the Gravina belt [Gehrels, 2001]. The Prince Rupert Shear Zone dips northeast at a moderate angle along the southwestern margin of the Ecstall pluton. The northward continuation of the shear zone steepens to nearly vertical. From regional patterns of metamorphic grade and dip of the Prince Rupert Shear Zone, it is inferred that this shear zone steepens with increasing depth in the crust. In the footwall of this thrust are Paleozoic rocks of the Alexander terrane overlain by Jura-Cretaceous strata of the Gravina belt [Woodsworth et al., 1983; Crawford et al., 1987, 2000; Gehrels, 2001].

The Ecstall pluton is known to have been emplaced at deep crustal levels on the basis of geobarometric data from both the pluton and its country rocks. Country rocks adjacent to the north end of the body are uniformly kyanite and staurolite bearing, recording depths of ~25 km during metamorphism related to emplacement [Crawford et al., 1987, 2000]. The presence of primary epidote in the pluton suggests that crystallization occurred at ~25 km depth [Crawford and Hollister, 1982; Zen and Hammerstrom, 1984]. As described below, Al-in-hornblende analyses of the Ecstall pluton document crystallization at lower crustal levels. K-Ar ages of ~87 Ma (hornblende) and ~70 Ma (biotite) [Armstrong and Runkle, 1979] and 40Ar/39Ar analyses presented below indicate that the pluton was cooled to ~500°C within 15 m.y. after emplacement.

3. Hornblende Geobarometry

The Ecstall pluton is one of the classic localities used by Hammerstrom and Zen [1986] to calibrate the empirical Al-in-
hornblende geobarometer. For this study, we measured the Al content of hornblende from 14 samples across the northern portion of the Ecstall pluton (Figure 3) to constrain the depth of crystallization. Hornblende chemistry was measured on the University of Wisconsin Cameca SX-50 electron microprobe using an accelerating voltage of 15 kV and beam current of 20 µA. Following the classification scheme of Leake [1978], amphibole rims measured in this study range from magnesian hastingsitic hornblende to magnesian hastingsite (Table A1, electronic supplement). Mg/(Mg + Fe²⁺) ranges from 0.49 to 0.58, and Na + K ranges from 0.63 to 0.84. A minimum of 10, but typically 16 rim analyses were used to calculate the average pressure for a given sample (Table A1, electronic supplement).

[10] Pressures were calculated using the experimental calibration of Schmidt [1992]. Following Schmidt [1992], we normalized hornblende compositions based on Σ cations Ca-Na-K = 13 and then used charge balance to calculate Fe³⁺. Reported errors are standard deviation of the mean (±1σ) and do not include analytical or calibration uncertainties. Schmidt [1992] quotes an uncertainty of ±0.6 kbar for his calibration. Calculated pressures from this study range from 740 ± 10 to 830 ± 30 MPa (7.4 ± 0.1 to 8.4 ± 0.3 kbar; Figure 3). These pressures agree with pressure estimates based on phase equilibria in the surrounding metamorphic rocks [Crawford and Hollister, 1982] and the pluton [Zen and Hammerstrom, 1984]. Implied depths of crystallization are in the 22–25 km range.

4. Geochronology

4.1. U/Pb Analyses

[11] For U/Pb age determinations, one sample was collected from each of three locations within the Ecstall pluton (Figure 4): (1) Ecstall East (54°13.505’ N, 129°53.157’W); (2) Ecstall Central (54°11.325’ N, 129°59.873’W); and (3) Ecstall West (54°9.411’ N, 130°8.744’W). Zircons were separated from the samples utilizing standard mineral separation techniques, and grains were separated into size fractions using disposable nylon sieve screens. Grains from a variety of size fractions were analyzed by conventional isotope dilution, thermal ionization mass spectrometry, as described by Gehrels [2000].

[12] The sample from the east side of the body (Ecstall East) yields five concordant analyses (Table A2, electronic supplement; Figure 5), with 206/238U ages that range from 90.0 to 90.9 Ma. The interpreted crystallization age is 90.5 ± 1.0 Ma (95% confidence limits). The sample from the central part of the body (Ecstall Middle) yields four concordant analyses and a single grain that is highly discordant. The discordant analyses (shown on Figure 5) yield a crystallization age of 90.8 ± 1.0 Ma. The discordant grain apparently includes radiogenic components with an average age of ~700 Ma when projected from 90.8 Ma. The sample from the west side of the body (Ecstall West) yields four concordant analyses, with 206/238U ages that range from 91.2 to 91.7 Ma (Table A2, electronic supplement; Figure 5). The interpreted crystallization age is 91.5 ± 1.0 Ma.
Figure 4. Locations of paleomagnetic and geochronologic sampling sites for Ecstall pluton. Metamorphic isograds and locations of kyanite-bearing assemblages were adapted from Crawford and Hollister [1982]. Locations of paleomagnetic sampling sites are indicated by solid circles for normal-polarity sites and open circles for reversed polarity sites. Sites labeled 8 and 18 are paleomagnetic sites ET008 and ET018, respectively, from which samples for ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar geochronology were obtained. Squares labeled W, C, and E are locations of U/Pb samples from the western, central, and eastern parts of the Ecstall pluton.

[13] The U-Pb age data demonstrate that the Ecstall pluton was emplaced during a short interval of mid-Cretaceous time. Although the uncertainties of the three ages overlap at the 95% confidence level (largely due to systematic errors), the ²⁰⁶Pb/²³⁸U ages demonstrate that the body is composite and becomes slightly younger to the east.

4.2. The ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar Analyses

[14] Samples for ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar geochronology were obtained from the western margin (sample 8, Figure 4) and the central portion (sample 18, Figure 4) of the Ecstall pluton. Biotite and hornblende (>99% pure) were separated from quartz diorite using standard separation techniques. Mineral separates were wrapped individually in Sn foil, along with biotite standard GA1550 [McDougall and Harrison, 1999] used to monitor the neutron dose. Samples were vacuum sealed in quartz tubes and irradiated for 20 hours in position L-67 of the Ford Reactor at the University of Michigan.

[15] Argon analyses were performed in the Noble Gas Laboratory at the University of Arizona. Extraction of gas from the samples was accomplished using a double-vacuum, resistance-heated tantalum furnace with temperature control via a thermocouple in contact with the bottom of the crucible and mounted on the outer (low) vacuum side of the furnace. Three getters were used for purification of the extracted gas. Isotopic analyses were performed using a VG5400 mass spectrometer with an ion-counting electron multiplier. Machine mass discrimination and sensitivity were determined from repeated analysis of atmospheric argon. Data reduction was completed on a PC using in-house programs. Samples were corrected for blanks, neutron-induced interfering isotopes, decay of ³⁷Ar and ³⁹Ar, mass discrimination, atmospheric argon, as well as H³⁵Cl, H³⁶Cl, and H³⁷Cl. Correction factors used to account for interfering nuclear reactions were determined by analyzing argon extracted from irradiated CaF₂ and K₂SO₄ and are (⁴⁰Ar/³⁷Ar)₀ = 0.00027, (⁴⁰Ar/³⁷Ar)₀ = 0.00043, (⁴⁰Ar/³⁷Ar)₀ = 0.00105, (⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar)₀ = 0.017, (⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar)₀ = 0.012. Isochron analysis indicated that the trapped argon in all samples was at, or near, atmospheric in composition. The ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar step heating results are listed in Table A3 (electronic supplement) and age spectra are illustrated in Figure 6. All ages were calculated using the decay constants recommended by Steiger and Jager [1977]. Stated precision for ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar ages includes all uncertainties in the measurement of isotope ratios and are quoted at the 1σ level. The errors do not include that associated with the J parameter which is <0.5%.

4.2.1. Sample 8. [16] Thin section observations of sample 8 provide evidence for alteration including sericitization of plagioclase and reaction rims on biotite. The preservation of igneous textures and lack of fabric development suggest that the rocks were statically altered. The ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar step heat experiments on
amphiboles from sample 8 from the western side of the Ecstall pluton (Figure 4) yielded a complex age spectrum with apparent ages ranging from ~60 to 85 Ma. Five steps corresponding to 73% of the gas released give a weighted mean age of 84.2 ± 1.0 Ma. Model closure temperatures are ~520°C for this amphibole composition assuming a spherical geometry, a grain radius of 80 µm, and a cooling rate of 20°C/m.y. [Dahl, 1996]. Results are interpreted to record cooling below 520°C by ~84 Ma. Biotite from sample 8 also

Figure 5. U-Pb concordia diagrams for zircon grains separated from three samples of the Ecstall pluton. Concordia plots were produced using the programs of Ludwig [1991a, 1991b]. Ellipses are 95% confidence limits.

Figure 6. The $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar age spectra. (a) Hornblende and biotite samples (sample 8) from paleomagnetic site ET008 on southwestern margin of Ecstall pluton. (b) Hornblende and biotite samples (sample 18) from paleomagnetic site ET018 in central portion of Ecstall pluton. See Table A3 (electronic supplement) and text for explanation.
yielded a complex age spectrum but with younger \(^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}\) apparent ages ranging from 46 to 79 Ma. Six steps corresponding to 59% of the gas released gave a weighted mean age of 73.9 ± 0.6 Ma, which is interpreted to reflect the time at which the sample cooled to below a nominal closure temperature of 300°C [McDougall and Harrison, 1999]. The thermochronologic data for sample 8 indicate that Late Cretaceous crystallization was followed by cooling at rates of >20°C/m.y. We infer that the relatively young \(^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}\) apparent ages associated with the lowest-temperature portion of the age spectra are the result of alteration.

**4.2. Sample 18.** [17] The \(^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}\) step heat experiments from sample 18 from the central portion of the Ecstall pluton (Figure 4) yielded a flat age spectrum with a plateau age of 76.4 ± 0.6 Ma corresponding to over 98% of the gas released. Model closure temperatures are ~520°C for this composition assuming a spherical geometry, grain radius of 80 µm, and cooling rate of 20°C/m.y. [Dahl, 1996]. Results are interpreted to record cooling to below ~520°C by 76 Ma. This sample is relatively unaltered, and no evidence exists for resetting of argon systematics subsequent to this time. Biotite from sample 18 also yielded a flat age spectrum but with a younger \(^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}\) plateau age of 54.7 ± 0.2 Ma. Biotite results indicate that sample 18 cooled to below a nominal closure temperature of 300°C by early Eocene time. The thermochronologic data for sample 18 indicate Late Cretaceous crystallization followed by Late Cretaceous to early Eocene cooling at rates of ~10°C/m.y.

[18] The geochronologic data indicate that crystallization of the Ecstall pluton at 91 Ma was followed by earlier and more rapid cooling of the western portion (sample 8, Figure 4) compared to the central portion (sample 18, Figure 4) of the pluton. Although our data do not constrain the low-temperature thermal history of the pluton, an apatite fission track age of 38.7 ± 1.9 Ma from the northern end of the Ecstall indicates cooling to below 100°C, and we infer exhumation to shallow levels of the crust by late Eocene time [Harrison et al., 1979].

5. Paleomagnetism

### 5.1. Procedures and Rock Magnetic Analyses

[19] Collections of paleomagnetic samples were done on the north shore of the Skeena River in road cuts of the Yellowhead Highway or adjacent exposures and along the Canadian National rail line (Figure 2). A dense sample coverage of the northern lobe of the Ecstall pluton was accomplished. Twenty-eight sites were collected (≥8 samples per site) using standard paleomagnetic coring methods. About one third of the samples were oriented by Sun compass and magnetic compass, with the remainder oriented only with magnetic compass. Significant deflections of the magnetic compass were observed within a few meters of the rail line. No samples were collected close to the rail line unless Sun compass orientations could be obtained.

[20] Following preparation, all paleomagnetic samples were stored, measured, and thermally demagnetized in a magnetically shielded room with average field intensity <200 nT. Measurement of natural remanent magnetism (NRM) was done with a three-axis cryogenic magnetometer (2G Model 755R). Analyses of coercivity spectra of representative samples were performed using the methods of Dunlop [1972] and Lowrie [1990].

[21] Reflective-light microscopy revealed an abundance of highly reflective and anisotropic opaque grains containing fine exsolution structures of a lighter gray mineral. The host grains show no internal reflections. Coupled with rock magnetic analyses described below, these observations suggest that host grains are most likely Ti-poor titanohematite. The lighter gray mineral is probably ilmenite resulting from magmatic exsolution of crystals of intermediate composition titanohematite into composite grains of the dominant Ti-poor titanohematite phase containing finely exsolved Ti-rich ilmenite.

[22] Acquisition of isothermal remanent magnetism (IRM) for most samples from the Ecstall pluton indicates the presence of ferromagnetic minerals with coercive force ≥300 mT (Figure 7a). Thermal demagnetization of IRM indicates that the high and intermediate coercivity fractions have unblocking temperatures dominantly between 580°C and 650°C. These IRM data are consistent with the reflected light observations, indicating that Ti-poor titanohematite is the dominant ferromagnetic mineral.

5.2. Anisotropy of Magnetic Susceptibility

[23] Anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility (AMS) was measured using a Sapphire Instruments (model SI-2) magnetic susceptibility bridge. Anisotropy data were generally obtained from six samples per site, and site-mean directions of principal susceptibility axes were determined using bootstrap statistical methods described by Constable and Tauxe [1990]. Only five sites were observed to have AMS greater than 10%. Many sites are isotropic without significant AMS. Because of the low saturation magnetization of Ti-poor titanohematite we infer that AMS is controlled by the fabric of Fe-bearing silicates.
[24] Principal AMS axes from all sites within the Ecstall pluton are shown in Figure 8a. There is much scatter in the directions of principal axes. However, there is a general tendency for minimum susceptibility axes to be subvertical with maximum and intermediate axes being subhorizontal. This indicates a generally flat foliation for the AMS ellipsoids. There is also a less clear tendency for the maximum susceptibility axes to be oriented east-west. A possible interpretation of these AMS patterns is that the Ecstall pluton developed a fabric with subhorizontal foliation and slight east-west lineation as a flow fabric at the time of emplacement.

[25] The eastern portion of the Ecstall pluton has visible fabric increasing in intensity near the eastern margin probably because of proximity to the Coast shear zone (Figure 4). Indeed, the AMS axes observed for the five sites collected from the eastern portion of the Ecstall pluton display within and between site consistency (Figures 8b and 8c). Potential superposition of flow and deformational fabric make interpretation of this AMS complex, and we do not understand this pattern nor its potential relation to the paleomagnetic directions in detail. Nevertheless, we are concerned that the paleomagnetic directions obtained from these eastern sites may have been affected by anisotropy. The paleomagnetic directions from these sites are reported in Table 1 but are not considered further.

5.3 Paleomagnetic Results

[26] The Ecstall pluton is an extraordinary paleomagnetic recorder. For most plutonic rocks the fidelity of the paleomagnetism and the percentage of samples which yield well determined characteristic remanent magnetization (ChRM) directions is limited. The Ecstall pluton provides uncommonly well determined ChRM directions from almost all samples collected.

[27] Thermal demagnetization employed from 8 to 12 temperature steps ranging up to 685°C. The magnetic field inside the thermal demagnetization furnaces was <10 nT. Typical results of thermal demagnetization are illustrated in Figure 9. For most samples, minor components of NRM were unblocked during thermal demagnetization up to 560°C. A characteristic remanent magnetization was isolated from most samples over a narrow unblocking temperature range from ~560°C to ~630°C. The progression of vector end points over four or more successive thermal demagnetization steps in the 560°C to 630°C interval was analyzed using principal component analysis [Kirschvink, 1980] to determine the sample ChRM. ChRM directions for 145 of 162 samples were determined with maximum angular deviation (MAD) <5°, indicating that most sample ChRM directions are precisely determined. Only sample characteristic components determined with MAD ≤20° are considered reliable.

[28] Following determination of sample ChRM directions, site-mean characteristic directions were calculated using standard methods of Fisher [1953]. Only one site failed to yield sufficiently well determined sample ChRM directions to allow determination of a site-mean direction. Most site-mean directions are based on six sample ChRM directions, although two site-mean directions are based on results from four samples. Twenty-three site-mean directions have 95% confidence limits ≤15°. Site-mean ChRM directions are listed in Table 1 and illustrated in Figure 10a. We interpret the ChRM to be a thermal remanent magnetization acquired as these plutonic rocks cooled through the 560°C to 630°C range of blocking temperatures.

6. Discussion

6.1 Distribution of Paleomagnetic Directions

[29] The blocking temperatures of the characteristic magnetization are dominantly in the 580°C to 620°C range. The zircon ages are interpreted to record crystallization, whereas the argon ages record cooling to ~520°C for hornblende and ~300°C for biotite [McDougall and Harrison, 1999]. The ⁴₀Ar/³⁹Ar horn.

Figure 8. Lower hemisphere equal-area projections of principal axes of anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility (AMS). (a) AMS axes for sites from the western and central portion of the Ecstall pluton. (b) AMS axes for sites from the eastern portion of the Ecstall pluton. (c) Sample AMS axes for site ET002 from the eastern portion of the Ecstall pluton. Mean axes are indicated by the larger symbols enclosed by 95% confidence limits. Axes of maximum susceptibility are shown by squares; axes of intermediate susceptibility are shown by triangles; axes of minimum susceptibility are shown by circles.
Table 1. Site-Mean Characteristic Magnetization Directions\(^a\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Lat, °N</th>
<th>Long, °W</th>
<th>Dist, km</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>I, deg</th>
<th>D, deg</th>
<th>1, (10^{-1}) A/m</th>
<th>95(^\text{th}), deg</th>
<th>k</th>
<th>Lat, °N</th>
<th>Long, °E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ET001(^b)</td>
<td>54°13.1'</td>
<td>129°54.3'</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>215.6</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>429.7</td>
<td>-66.6</td>
<td>143.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET002(^b)</td>
<td>54°13.1'</td>
<td>129°54.6'</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-69.2</td>
<td>218.4</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>190.3</td>
<td>-67.4</td>
<td>127.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET003(^b)</td>
<td>54°12.9'</td>
<td>129°55.4'</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-51.5</td>
<td>263.5</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>78.0</td>
<td>-29.2</td>
<td>124.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET004(^b)</td>
<td>54°12.4'</td>
<td>129°56.4'</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>59.8</td>
<td>67.6</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>154.3</td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td>308.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET005(^b)</td>
<td>54°12.4'</td>
<td>129°56.5'</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>59.3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>111.5</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>324.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET006</td>
<td>54°12.2'</td>
<td>129°57.2'</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>81.4</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>545.8</td>
<td>69.7</td>
<td>244.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET007</td>
<td>54°9.0'</td>
<td>130°7.3'</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET008</td>
<td>54°9.1'</td>
<td>130°7.0'</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>56.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>100.3</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>348.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET009</td>
<td>54°9.2'</td>
<td>130°6.3'</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>53.4</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>66.0</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>347.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET010</td>
<td>54°9.7'</td>
<td>130°6.0'</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-27.6</td>
<td>246.0</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>199.6</td>
<td>-25.8</td>
<td>150.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET012</td>
<td>54°10.2'</td>
<td>130°5.3'</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>76.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>323.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET013</td>
<td>54°10.4'</td>
<td>130°4.4'</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>48.7</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>336.6</td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>328.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET014</td>
<td>54°10.3'</td>
<td>130°3.1'</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>65.3</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>322.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET015</td>
<td>54°10.3'</td>
<td>130°2.7'</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-58.5</td>
<td>276.9</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>-27.2</td>
<td>109.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET016</td>
<td>54°10.2'</td>
<td>130°1.6'</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-30.8</td>
<td>224.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>-40.2</td>
<td>172.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET017</td>
<td>54°10.4'</td>
<td>130°1.2'</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-50.6</td>
<td>245.8</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>40.2</td>
<td>-38.8</td>
<td>138.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET018</td>
<td>54°12.1'</td>
<td>129°57.3'</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>253.6</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>61.5</td>
<td>45.2</td>
<td>203.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET019</td>
<td>54°12.1'</td>
<td>129°57.5'</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>73.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>111.2</td>
<td>84.7</td>
<td>251.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET020</td>
<td>54°12.1'</td>
<td>129°57.6'</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>76.2</td>
<td>343.3</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>169.3</td>
<td>77.1</td>
<td>195.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET021</td>
<td>54°12.8'</td>
<td>129°59.3'</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-84.1</td>
<td>216.7</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>424.6</td>
<td>-62.8</td>
<td>65.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET022</td>
<td>54°13.1'</td>
<td>129°59.6'</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-61.0</td>
<td>209.4</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>54.1</td>
<td>-67.1</td>
<td>160.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET023</td>
<td>54°13.2'</td>
<td>129°59.7'</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-77.8</td>
<td>228.2</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>194.0</td>
<td>-64.1</td>
<td>92.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET024</td>
<td>54°13.8'</td>
<td>130°1.8'</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>77.2</td>
<td>69.5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>106.3</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>273.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET025</td>
<td>54°13.9'</td>
<td>130°1.7'</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>72.3</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>72.7</td>
<td>297.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET026</td>
<td>54°14.1'</td>
<td>130°1.8'</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>73.3</td>
<td>357.1</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>212.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET027</td>
<td>54°14.3'</td>
<td>130°2.0'</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>73.8</td>
<td>105.2</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>268.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET028</td>
<td>54°14.3'</td>
<td>130°2.8'</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-61.4</td>
<td>252.2</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>269.2</td>
<td>-42.9</td>
<td>123.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Site, number for paleomagnetic site; Location, site location; Lat, latitude; Long, longitude; Dist, distance of site from southwest margin of Ecostall pluton; N, number of samples used to determine site-mean direction; I and D, inclination and declination of site-mean direction; J, geometric mean intensity of characteristic remanent magnetization component; 95\(^\text{th}\), radius of cone of 95% confidence about site-mean direction; k, concentration parameter; VGP, site-mean virtual geomagnetic pole computed from the site-mean direction.

\(^b\)Sites with significant and consistent anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility. These site-mean directions are not used in structural interpretations.

blende ages closely approximate the age for acquisition of the characteristic magnetization. These ages are ~84 Ma for the southwestern margin and ~76 Ma for the central portion of the pluton. Following the Cretaceous normal-polarity superchron, geomagnetic reversals commenced at ~83 Ma according to the geomagnetic polarity timescale of Cande and Kent [1992, 1995].

Polarities of ChRM are shown on the sample location map (Figure 4). Sites on the west and north sides are dominated by normal polarity. Proceeding toward the interior of the pluton, a reversed polarity zone is observed surrounding the normal-polarity zone at the center of the northern lobe of the Ecostall pluton. With cooling and magnetization proceeding from the margin inward, the...
not due to mixture of multiple components or different polarities of magnetization.

1. Mixing of components of magnetization often leads to multiple linear segments or curved trajectories on vector component diagrams because of differences in unblocking temperatures between components [Dunlop, 1979]. Instead, sample ChRM directions from the Ecstall pluton are defined by single linear trajectories of vector end points with no indication of curvature (Figure 9).

2. Recording of a polarity transition would likely produce streaking of sample ChRM directions within sites of the transition zone because of differences in blocking temperatures between samples. We observe no such within-site streaking of directions.

3. The divergent site-mean directions within a polarity transition zone would be confined to a region of the pluton separating zones of normal and reversed polarities. Instead, we observe sharply defined polarity boundaries with adjacent sites having antipodal directions (Figure 4).

[31] The streaked distribution of site-mean ChRM directions is related to location of the sampling site along the southwest to northeast transect across the Ecstall pluton. Four groups of paleomagnetic sites with four to eight sites each were formed according to distance of site from the southwestern margin of the pluton (Table 1 and Figure 4). Individual site-mean directions and the group-mean directions are shown in Figure 10b, with all directions converted to normal polarity. Group-mean directions are listed in Table 2. Also shown on Figure 10b is the expected Late Cretaceous magnetic field direction ($I = 78.0^\circ \pm 2.1^\circ; D = 331.1^\circ \pm 9.5^\circ$ [Van Fossen and Kent, 1992]) at the present location of the Ecstall pluton. The group-mean directions are distributed along a small circle with axis at $338^\circ$ azimuth. The group-mean direction for sites farthest from the southwestern margin (most central site locations surrounding the site with $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar hornblende age of 76 Ma) is concordant with the expected Cretaceous direction. Groups closer to the southwestern margin of the pluton have mean directions progressively divergent from this expected direction. The angles along the small circle between group-mean directions and the expected Cretaceous direction are plotted in Figure 10c. The regression line shows a regular decrease in angle with distance of sampling sites from the southwestern margin where the $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar hornblende age of 84 Ma was obtained.

### 6.2. Latitudinal Transport During Magnetization?

[32] The paleomagnetic directions observed from sites near the southwestern margin of the Ecstall pluton have shallow inclinations and clockwise declinations compared to the expected Cretaceous direction. In the Baja British Columbia model, northward transport accounts for the observed shallow paleomagnetic inclination, while clockwise vertical axis rotation of terranes during transport accounts for the discordant declination [Beck, 1980; Irving et al., 1996]. An obvious hypothesis to test is whether the progressive discordance of paleomagnetic directions with position

### Table 2. Group-Mean Directions$^a$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Average Distance, km</th>
<th>$N$</th>
<th>$I$, deg</th>
<th>$D$, deg</th>
<th>$\alpha_{95}$, deg</th>
<th>Angle, deg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>49.7</td>
<td>61.3</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>74.9</td>
<td>48.7</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>80.8</td>
<td>342.6</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Average distance of group of sites from southwestern margin of Ecstall pluton; $N$, number of sites in group; $I$ and $D$, inclination and declination of group-mean direction; $\alpha_{95}$, radius of cone of 95% confidence about group-mean direction; Angle, small-circle angle of group mean from expected Cretaceous direction.
in the Ecstall pluton could be explained by tectonic transport during cooling and magnetization.

[33] Two difficulties faced by the tectonic transport hypothesis are the magnitude of motion required and the rate at which that motion must have occurred. The group-mean direction for sites closest to the southwestern margin of the Ecstall pluton is discordant from the expected Cretaceous direction by >70° (Figure 10). The observed inclination is >60° shallower than the expected direction. To account for this inclination shallowing by latitudinal transport requires ~7000 km of transport since the magnetization was acquired at 84 Ma. Interior portions of the Ecstall pluton have concordant paleomagnetic directions, indicating little or no latitudinal transport since magnetization at 76 Ma. Thus the tectonic transport hypothesis requires 7000 km of latitudinal motion to have occurred during the ~8 m.y. interval over which the Ecstall pluton acquired its magnetization. The implied transport rate of ~900 km/m.y. (~1 m/yr) exceeds plausible lithospheric plate velocities by an order of magnitude. An explanation of the Ecstall pluton paleomagnetism in terms of tectonic transport alone must be abandoned.

6.3. Tilting During Magnetization?

[34] Northeast-side-up tilting of the Ecstall pluton during uplift can produce the highly discordant paleomagnetic directions on the southwest margin of the pluton along with concordant directions in the pluton interior. A model of synchronous tilting and magnetization was developed by Beck [1992]. For northeast-side-up tilt, portions of a pluton progressively uplift through the magnetic blocking temperature isotherm producing a small-circle distribution of paleomagnetic directions and a decrease of cooling ages toward the northeast. The axis of the resulting small-circle distribution of paleomagnetic directions is the axis to tilting. Sites on the southwestern margin acquire magnetization first and experience maximum tilting since magnetization. To account for the observed paleomagnetic directions within the Ecstall pluton, the amount of tilt required since magnetization of these southwestern sites is >70°. Sites toward the pluton interior become magnetized at progressively later times and record smaller amounts of tilt. However, this coherent tilt model encounters a major problem when the geobarometric data from the Ecstall pluton are considered.

[35] With a southwest to northeast dimension of ~20 km for the Ecstall pluton (Figure 4) a rigid 70° northeast-side-up tilt implies a structural relief of >15 km across the pluton. In turn, this would require a systematic increase of ~400 MPa in geobarometric pressure from southwest to northeast across the pluton. However, the geobarometric data presented above indicate that pressures during crystallization of hornblende were in the 740–830 MPa (7.4–8.3 kbar) range across the full width of the pluton. Thus the pressure increase predicted by the coherent tilt model is contradicted by the geobarometric data. Moreover, explaining a coherent 70° tilt of a crustal block the size of the Ecstall pluton presents a major structural geologic challenge.

6.4. Folding of the Western Margin

[36] Because the axis of the small-circle distribution of paleomagnetic directions is aligned with major structures in the Prince Rupert region, an explanation involving local deformation is suggested. Viable explanations are constrained by the geobarometric data to require only modest (<5 km) structural relief across the Ecstall pluton. Because pressures of equilibration for metamorphic assemblages in the country rocks and pressures of crystallization within the pluton indicate depths of ~25 km, only models implying modest structural relief between the country rocks and the pluton are realistic. Our preferred interpretation of the paleomagnetic, geochronologic, and geobarometric data from the Ecstall pluton involves folding of the western margin of the pluton into a west vergent anticline (Figure 11).

[37] A local folding of the southwestern margin of the Ecstall pluton (Figure 11) is consistent with available data. From patterns of metamorphic grade and fabrics, Crawford et al. [1987] interpret
the Prince Rupert Shear Zone as a Late Cretaceous west directed thrust with the Eccshall pluton in the upper plate. Crawford et al. [1987] further suggest that intrusion of the Eccshall pluton at an intermediate to deep crustal level may have facilitated motion on west vergent thrust faults in this region. The southwest margin of the Eccshall pluton has a NW-SE orientation as does the Prince Rupert Shear Zone as it passes west of the pluton (Figure 4). This orientation is in agreement with the ~340° azimuth of the small-circle distribution of paleomagnetic directions (Figure 10).

[38] From patterns of metamorphic grade and fabric observations, a convex upward geometry has been proposed for thrust faults within the Western Metamorphic Belt including the Prince Rupert Shear Zone [Crawford et al., 1987; Chardon et al., 1999]. The structural relations between the Eccshall pluton and the underlying Prince Rupert Shear Zone (Figure 11) suggest two possible scenarios for folding. One possibility is that the Prince Rupert shear was originally planar at the present level of exposure and that both the shear zone and the overlying Eccshall pluton were folded after thrusting had ceased. A second possibility is that the Prince Rupert Shear Zone was originally convex upward at the level of exposure and that hanging wall rocks (including the Eccshall pluton) were folded as they moved over this segment of the fault. In essence, this second model is a large fault-bend fold. Either model can produce the small-circle distribution of paleomagnetic directions with highly discordant directions on the western margin and concordant directions in the pluton interior. Both models are consistent with the geobarometric data because they require only modest structural relief between the pluton and the country rocks. Both models are also consistent with existing geochronologic, thermochronologic, and structural data from the region. At this time, available data do not clearly favor one model over the other.

7. Conclusions

[39] Crystallization of the Eccshall pluton took place during a short interval at ~90 Ma. Geobarometric data indicate that the portion of the pluton now exposed at the present erosion surface crystallized at ~25 to ~30 km depth. Acquisition of magnetization took place by cooling to ~580°C and was soon followed by cooling to ~520°C required for retention of argon in hornblende at 84 Ma for the western margin and at 76 Ma for the central portion of the pluton. Cooling to below 300°C was not complete until 74 Ma for the western margin and 55 Ma for the center of the pluton. Paleomagnetic directions from sites within 4 km of the western margin of the Eccshall pluton are deflected ~70° from the expected Cretaceous magnetic field direction, while sites from the pluton interior yield concordant directions. The distribution of paleomagnetic directions is along a small circle with subhorizontal axis at ~340° azimuth. The paleomagnetic observations are compatible with northward transport of 500 km to perhaps 1000 km as suggested by some geologic analyses [Price and Charnock, 1986]. However, explanation of the paleomagnetic directions entirely by northward transport (Baja British Columbia hypothesis) during the ~84 Ma to 76 Ma interval of magnetization requires latitudinal motion at a rate exceeding lithospheric plate velocities by a factor of 10. Coherent 70° northeast-side-up tilt during cooling and magnetization could produce the patterns of 40Ar/39Ar ages and the paleomagnetic directions but is contradicted by the geobarometric data. Local folding of the Eccshall pluton can account for the geochronologic, paleomagnetic, and geobarometric data and is supported by mapped structural geology of the Prince Rupert area. This folding could have resulted either from Late Cretaceous thrust displacement of the Eccshall pluton above the convex upward Prince Rupert Shear Zone or later folding of both the pluton and the underlying shear zone.
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